

San Francisco County Superior Court

OCT 3 1 2022

CLERK OF THE COURT BY: KM: hal D'L

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT 306**

TITLE (Cal. R. Ct. 1550(b))	PROCEEDING NO
AUTOMOBILE ANTITRUST CASES I, II) CJC-03-004298 and
	ORDER GRANTIN OF SETTLEMENT
This document relates to: All Actions)) CANADA))
))
))
) Y

NCIL COORDINATION S. 4298 AND 4303

d CJC-03-004303

NG FINAL APPROVAL WITH FORD

23

24

25

26

27

28

This matter came before the Court on October 5, 2022, and October 21, 2022, pursuant to the Court's Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement with Ford Canada dated June 23, 2022, for a Final Approval Hearing on Plaintiffs' motion, on behalf of the Class, for final approval of the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiffs and Ford Canada.

The Court having considered the fairness of the Settlement Agreement, and having considered the objections of Timothy R. and Troy S. to the Settlement Agreement and Plaintiffs' responses thereto, all papers filed in connection with the Settlement Agreement, and all other papers filed and proceedings conducted in this Action, and otherwise being fully informed, based on good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- This Order Granting Final Approval of Settlement with Ford Canada, like the 1. accompanying Judgment, incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement, and all terms used herein shall have the same meanings set forth in the Settlement Agreement.1
- The following terms of this Order, and the accompanying Judgment, shall only become 2. effective upon the satisfaction of all other requirements for the Settlement to become Final as defined in Paragraph 10 of the Settlement Agreement.
- 3. The Court has subject matter and personal jurisdiction over Plaintiffs, all members of the Class, and Ford Canada, and jurisdiction to finally approve the Settlement Agreement on behalf of the Class.
- Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 382 and California Rules of 4. Court, rule 3.769, and consistent with the requirements of due process, the Court hereby finally approves the Settlement Agreement, including its payment and release provisions. The Court finds and concludes that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith, following arm's-length negotiations, and was not collusive. The Court further finds

The Settlement Agreement is attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Todd A. Seaver filed April 6,

and concludes that the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and consistent with the requirements of California law and all applicable court rules, including but not limited to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 382 and California Rules of Court, rule 3.769, and due process.

5. The Class is defined as:

All persons and entities residing in California on November 15, 2020, who purchased or leased a new motor vehicle manufactured or distributed by Ford Company; Ford Motor Company of Canada, Limited; Volvo Cars of North America LLC; Volvo Cars of Canada Ltd., General Motors Corporation; General Motors of Canada, Ltd.; Saab Cars USA, Inc.; Saturn Corporation; DaimlerChrysler AG; DaimlerChrysler Corporation; DaimlerChrysler Motors, Co., LLC; DaimlerChrysler Canada, Inc.; Toyota Motor Corporation; Toyota Motor Sales USA, Inc.; Toyota Canada, Inc.; Honda Motor Company, Ltd.; American Honda Motor Company, Inc.; Honda Canada, Inc.; Nissan Motor Company, Ltd.; Nissan North America, Inc.; or Nissan Canada, Inc. from an Authorized Dealer located in California, during the period of January 1, 2001 through April 30, 2003 for their own use.

No Class Members have submitted requests to be excluded from the Class.

- 6. The Court finds and concludes that the Class meets the class action criteria of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382.
- The Court finds and concludes that notice of the Settlement Agreement was provided to the Class in accordance with the Court's Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement with Ford Canada dated June 23, 2022, including the forms of notice and methods of notifying members of the Class that were approved by the Court. That notice was fair, adequate, and sufficient, constituting the best practicable notice under the circumstances, and was reasonably calculated to reach all or a substantial percentage of the members of the Class and apprise them of this Action, the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement, and their right to object to the Settlement Agreement. The notice provided fully satisfied all requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Rules of Court, rules 3.766 and 3.769, all other applicable laws or rules of the Court, and due process.
- 8. The Court overrules the objections submitted by Timothy R. and Troy S.

- 9. The Settlement Fund is \$82,000,000. Pursuant to the Court's October 31, 2022 Order
 Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses,
 and Payment of Service Awards, the following amounts shall be paid from the Settlement
 Fund:
 - i. Attorneys' fees in the amount of \$27,306,000;
 - ii. Litigation expenses in the amount of \$1,293,971.39;
 - iii. A \$5,000 service award to named Plaintiff Jason Gabelsberg; and
 - iv. A \$5,000 service award to named Plaintiff W. Scott Young.
- 10. The Settlement Fund, net of the Court-approved deductions in Paragraph 9 supra, shall be distributed pursuant to Paragraph 21 of the Settlement Agreement. A Status Conference is set for March 24, 2023 at 10:00 a.m. No later than three court days prior to the Status Conference, Plaintiffs shall file a status report regarding the claims process, any outstanding issues, and the amount available for distribution to the Class. The status report must be accompanied by an admissible evidentiary declaration.
- 11. Class Counsel, Plaintiffs, and Ford Canada are directed to implement and consummate the Settlement Agreement according to its terms and conditions.
- 12. This Order Granting Final Approval and the Judgment shall terminate and dispose of all the claims against Ford Canada in this Action and all individual cases within it.
- 13. Without affecting the finality of this Order Granting Final Approval or the Judgment in any way, this Court retains continuing jurisdiction over Plaintiffs, the Class, and Ford Canada, to implement, administer, consummate, and enforce the Settlement Agreement and this Order Granting Final Approval and the Judgment, consistent with California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: Ocholou 31, 10W

Anne-Christine Massullo Judge of the Superior Court